

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,188	11/20/2003	Yutaka Yanuma	17273	9812
23389	7590 10/17/2006	EXAMINER		
	OTT MURPHY & PR	DAWSON,	DAWSON, GLENN K	
SUITE 300	CITTFLAZA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			3731	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No	D.	Applicant(s)				
		10/718,188		YANUMA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Glenn K. Daws		3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
VVHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS C 36(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ARANDONET	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status								
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,8,22-25,30,43 and 44</u> is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7,9-21,26-29,31-42 and 45-47</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requir	ement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11-20-03;4-16-04</u> .	6)		The state of the s				

Application/Control Number: 10/718,188

Art Unit: 3731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4-7,9-21,26-29 and 31-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In all of these claims, it is unclear if the parts of the endoscope, e.g. endoscope, channel, forceps cap, are being positively recited as elements of the claimed system.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Art Unit: 3731

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stinson-2003/0083730 in view of Del Toro-5733267 and Akui, et al.-4653477.

Stinson discloses in general a method of implanting a stent by placing two concentric cylindrical members inside a channel in an endoscope, withdrawing an outer member relative to an inner member holding the stent therearound, and allowing the stent to self-expand in the vessel lumen. However, the holding mechanism and the use of an outer cylindrical member. Del Toro discloses that it was known to provide an inner cylindrical member holding a stent therearound, an intermediate cylinder covering the stent and an outer cylinder fixed to the inner cylinder; withdrawal of the intermediate cylinder allows for the expansion of the stent. It would have been obvious to have provided an outer cylinder over cylinder 40 of Stinson, as this would prevent the frictional withdrawal of the cylinder 40 when entering and traversing the endoscope lumen\, as the forces would act on the outer cylinder. Akui discloses that it was known to provide a sealing cap on an endoscope tool channel. It would have been obvious to provide the disclosed endoscope with a sealing cap on the tool channel, in order to allow the channel to seal when either empty, or when a tool is inserted therein.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,188

Art Unit: 3731

Once the sealing cap is provided on the endoscope tool channel, this becomes the claimed holding mechanism, as the friction produced by the sealing cap upon the outer cylinder would act to hold the outer cylinder and the inner cylinder in position relative to the endoscope tool channel.

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-3,8,22-25,30,43 and 44 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K. Dawson whose telephone number is 571-272-4694. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,188

Art Unit: 3731

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Glenn K Dawson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

Gkd 10 October 2006